

Lecture 2: Element of Convex Analysis I

First and foremost, the concept of convexity plays a crucial role in both the theoretical and algorithmic aspects of optimization.

1 Why Convexity is Special?

- (i) They exhibit favorable geometric properties, such as the fact that any local minimum is also a global minimum.
- (ii) There are excellent software tools (e.g., CVX, Mosek, Gurobi) that can efficiently solve a wide range of convex problems.
- (iii) Although many machine learning tasks are still nonconvex, modern nonconvex theories and algorithm designs continue to heavily rely on fundamental convex analysis techniques.

Theorem 1 (Local Implies Global). *Consider an optimization problem*

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}} f(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function and \mathcal{S} is a convex set. Then, any local minima is also a global minima.

Proof. Let $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ be a local minima. By its definition (see Definition 5 in Lecture 1), we have: There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \leq f(\mathbf{x})$, for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \epsilon)$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction, there exists a point $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{S}$ with

$$f(\mathbf{z}) < f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}).$$

Moreover, due the convexity of the set \mathcal{S} , we have

$$\alpha \bar{\mathbf{x}} + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{S}, \forall \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

By the convexity of f , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha \bar{\mathbf{x}} + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{z}) &\leq \alpha f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) + (1 - \alpha) f(\mathbf{z}) \\ &< \alpha f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) + (1 - \alpha) f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

However, as $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, we have $\alpha \bar{\mathbf{x}} + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{z} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{x}}$ and the inequality (1) contradicts the fact that $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is a local minima. ■

2 Convex Sets

Definition 2. Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. We say that \mathcal{S} is convex if $\alpha \mathbf{x} + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{S}$ whenever $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Proposition 3. Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be non-empty. Then, the following are equivalent:

- (i) \mathcal{S} is convex.
- (ii) Any convex combination of points in \mathcal{S} belongs to \mathcal{S} .

Observation 4. A set \mathcal{S} is convex if for any \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} in \mathcal{S} , the $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$ line entirely in the set \mathcal{S} .

Example 5 (Some Examples of Convex Sets). (i) *Non-Negative Orthant:* $\mathbb{R}_+^d = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}\}$.

(ii) *Hyperplane:* $H(\mathbf{s}, c) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{x} = c\}$.

(iii) *Halfspaces:* $H^-(\mathbf{s}, c) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{x} \leq c\}$, $H^+(\mathbf{s}, c) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{x} \geq c\}$.

(iv) *Euclidean Ball:* $\mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, r) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_2 \leq r\}$.

Proof Idea By Definition 2 and apply the triangle inequality. ■

(v) *Ellipsoid:* $\mathcal{E}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{Q}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : (\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T \mathbf{Q} (\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) \leq 1\}$, where \mathbf{Q} is an $d \times d$ symmetric, positive definite matrix (i.e., $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and denoted by $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{S}_{++}^d$).

Proof Idea proving that $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{Q}} = \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}}$ is a norm and repeat above. ■

(vi) *Simplex:* $\Delta = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^d \alpha_i \mathbf{x}_i : \sum_{i=0}^d \alpha_i = 1, \alpha_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, n \right\}$, where $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_d$ are vectors in \mathbb{R}^d such that the vectors $\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_d - \mathbf{x}_0$ are linearly independent.

(vii) *Convex Cone:* A set $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is called a cone if $\{\alpha \mathbf{x} : \alpha > 0\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ whenever $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K}$. If \mathcal{K} is also convex, then \mathcal{K} is called a convex cone.

(viii) *Positive Semidefinite Cone:* $\mathcal{S}_+^d = \{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{S}^d : \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} \geq 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$.

2.1 Convexity-preserving Operations

Establishing the convexity of a set directly from its definition can sometimes be challenging. Here, we will study certain operations such that if \mathcal{S} is convex, then applying an operator A ensures that $A(\mathcal{S})$ is also convex.

(i) Intersection of two convex sets is convex. That is, if \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 are convex, then $\mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathcal{S}_2$ is also convex.

Remark 6. This is also true for infinite/finite intersections or Minkowski sums. However, the union of two convex sets is not necessarily convex.

(ii) Convexity is preserved under affine mappings.

Definition 7 (Affine Functions). We say that a map $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is affine if

$$A(\alpha \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{x}_2) = \alpha A(\mathbf{x}_1) + (1 - \alpha) A(\mathbf{x}_2)$$

for all $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. It can be shown that A is affine iff there exist $A_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ and $\mathbf{y}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $A(\mathbf{x}) = A_0 \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}_0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proposition 8. Let $A : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be an affine mapping and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex set. Then, the image $A(\mathcal{S}) = \{A(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^m : \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is convex. Conversely, if $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is a convex set, then the inverse image $A^{-1}(\mathcal{T}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : A(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is convex.

Let us use Proposition 8 to revisit the convexity of Ellipsoid.

Proof. Consider the ball $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{0}, r) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} \leq r^2\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, where $r > 0$. Clearly, $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{0}, r)$ is convex. Now, let \mathbf{Q} be a $d \times d$ symmetric positive definite matrix. Then, it is well-known that \mathbf{Q} is invertible and the $d \times d$ symmetric matrix \mathbf{Q}^{-1} is also positive definite. Moreover, there exists a $d \times d$ symmetric

matrix $\mathbf{Q}^{-1/2}$ such that $\mathbf{Q}^{-1} = \mathbf{Q}^{-1/2}\mathbf{Q}^{-1/2}$. Thus, we may define an affine mapping $A : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ by $A(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{Q}^{-1/2}\mathbf{x} + \bar{\mathbf{x}}$. We claim that

$$A(\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{0}, r)) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : (\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) \leq r^2\} = \mathcal{E}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{Q}/r^2).$$

Indeed, let $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{0}, r)$ and consider the point $A(\mathbf{x})$. We compute

$$(A(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T \mathbf{Q}(A(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q}^{-1/2} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}^{-1/2} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} \leq r^2$$

i.e., $A(\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{0}, r)) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{Q}/r^2)$. Conversely, let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{Q}/r^2)$. Consider the point $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{Q}^{1/2}(\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) = A^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$. Then, we have $\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} \leq r^2$, which implies that $\mathcal{E}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{Q}/r^2) \subseteq A(\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{0}, r))$. Hence, we conclude from the above calculation and Proposition 8 that $\mathcal{E}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{Q}/r^2)$ is convex. \blacksquare

(iii) Convexity is preserved by perspective functions. Define the perspective function $P : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ by $P(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{t}$.

Proposition 9. *Let $P : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be the perspective function and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_{++}$ be a convex set. Then, the image $P(\mathcal{S}) = \{\mathbf{x}/t \in \mathbb{R}^d : (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is convex. Conversely, if $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a convex set, then the inverse image $P^{-1}(\mathcal{T}) = \{(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_{++} : \mathbf{x}/t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is convex.*

2.2 Projection onto Closed Convex Sets

The projection operator plays a crucial role in optimization algorithms. Specifically, the efficiency of these algorithms depends in part on the efficient computation of the projection operator.

Theorem 10. *Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be non-empty, closed and convex. Then, for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a unique $\mathbf{z}^* \in \mathcal{S}$ that is closest (in the Euclidean norm) to \mathbf{x} .*

Proof Idea A useful characterization of projection:

$$\text{proj}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x}) = \underset{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{S}}{\text{argmin}} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|_2^2.$$

We apply the Weierstrass theorem (which states that a continuous function over a compact set attains its minimum and maximum) to prove existence. Moreover, we use the method of contradiction to prove uniqueness. \blacksquare

Theorem 11. *Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be non-empty, closed and convex. Given any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have $\mathbf{z}^* = \text{proj}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x})$ if and only if $\mathbf{z}^* \in \mathcal{S}$ and*

$$(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}^*)^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}^*) \leq 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{z}^* = \text{proj}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{S}$. Consider points of the form $\mathbf{z}(\alpha) = \alpha \mathbf{z} + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{z}^*$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. By convexity of the set \mathcal{S} , we have $\mathbf{z}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{S}$. Moreover, we have

$$\|\mathbf{z}^* - \mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{z}(\alpha) - \mathbf{x}\|_2$$

for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. On the other hand, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{z}(\alpha) - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 &= (\mathbf{z}^* + \alpha(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}^*) - \mathbf{x})^T (\mathbf{z}^* + \alpha(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}^*) - \mathbf{x}) \\ &= \|\mathbf{z}^* - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 + 2\alpha(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}^*)^T (\mathbf{z}^* - \mathbf{x}) + \alpha^2 \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}^*\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that $\|\mathbf{z}(\alpha) - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \geq \|\mathbf{z}^* - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ if and only if $(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}^*)^T (\mathbf{z}^* - \mathbf{x}) \geq 0$. This is precisely the stated condition.

Conversely, suppose that for some $\mathbf{z}' \in \mathcal{S}$, we have $(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}')^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}') \leq 0$ for all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{S}$. Upon setting $\mathbf{z} = \text{proj}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x})$, we have

$$(\text{proj}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{z}')^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}') \leq 0. \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, by our argument in the preceding paragraph, the point $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x})$ satisfies

$$(\mathbf{z}' - \text{proj}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x}))^T (\mathbf{x} - \text{proj}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x})) \leq 0. \quad (3)$$

Upon adding (2) and (3), we obtain

$$(\text{proj}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{z}')^T (\text{proj}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{z}') = \|\text{proj}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{z}'\|_2^2 \leq 0$$

which is possible only when $\mathbf{z}' = \text{proj}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x})$. ■

References

This lecture draws extensively from the material available at [ENGG 5501 Handout 2](#) taught by Prof. Anthony Man-Cho So.