

Lecture 3: Element of Convex Analysis II

Instructor: Jiajin Li

Scribe: Alex Chow

1 Convex Functions

Definition 1. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be an extended real-valued function that is not identically $+\infty$. We say that f is convex if

$$f(\alpha \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{x}_2) \leq \alpha f(\mathbf{x}_1) + (1 - \alpha) f(\mathbf{x}_2),$$

for all $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Proposition 2 (Connection Between Convex Sets and Convex Functions). Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be an extended real-valued function that is not identically $+\infty$. Then, f is convex if and only if $\text{epi}(f)$ is convex.

Proposition 3 (Jensen's Inequality). Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be as defined above. Then, f is convex if and only if

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \mathbf{x}_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i f(\mathbf{x}_i),$$

for any $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in [0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1$.

Proof.

\Leftarrow : By definition.

$$\Rightarrow: f \text{ is convex} \Rightarrow \text{epi}(f) \text{ is convex: } \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i (\mathbf{x}_i, f(\mathbf{x}_i)) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \mathbf{x}_i, \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) \right) \in \text{epi}(f).$$

■

Theorem 4 (Geometric Characterization of Convex Functions). Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a convex function such that $\text{epi}(f)$ is closed. Then, f can be represented as the pointwise supremum of all affine functions $h : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $h \leq f$.

Observation 5 (Geometric Characterization of Convex Functions and their Conjugate Functions). We consider a set:

$$\mathcal{F} = \{(\mathbf{y}, c) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{x} - c \leq f(\mathbf{x}), \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d\}.$$

which consists of the coefficients of affine functions $h : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $h \leq f$. Clearly, we have:

$$\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{x} - c \leq f(\mathbf{x}) \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \iff \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} (\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{x} - f(\mathbf{x})) \leq c.$$

This shows that \mathcal{F} is the epigraph of the function $f^* : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, given by:

$$f^*(\mathbf{y}) = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} (\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{x} - f(\mathbf{x})),$$

which is the **conjugate function**. Since \mathcal{F} is closed and convex, f^* is convex.

2 Convexity-Preserving Transformations

As in the case of convex sets, it's sometimes difficult to check directly from the definition whether a given function is convex or not.

Theorem 6. *The following hold:*

- (i) (**Non-negative Combination**): Let $f_1, \dots, f_m : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be convex functions satisfying $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \text{dom}(f_i) \neq \emptyset$. Then, for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m \geq 0$, the function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i f_i(\mathbf{x})$$

is convex.

- (ii) (**Pointwise Supremum**): Let \mathcal{I} be an index set for $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I}$. Define the pointwise supremum $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ of $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} f_i(\mathbf{x})$$

(note: \mathcal{I} may not be a finite set). Suppose that $\text{dom}(f) \neq \emptyset$. Then, the function f is convex.

Proof Idea The epigraph of f is the intersection of the epigraphs of f_i . The intersection of (possibly infinite) convex sets remains convex. ■

- (iii) (**Affine Transformation**): Let $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a convex function, and let $A : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be an affine mapping. Suppose that $\text{range}(A) \cap \text{dom}(g) \neq \emptyset$. Then, $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = g(A(\mathbf{x}))$$

is convex.

- (iv) (**Composition with an Increasing Convex Function**): Let $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be convex functions but not identically $+\infty$. Suppose that h is increasing on $\text{dom}(h)$. Define the function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ by $f(\mathbf{x}) = h(g(\mathbf{x}))$ with the convention $h(+\infty) = +\infty$. Suppose that $\text{dom}(f) \neq \emptyset$. Then f is convex.

Example 7 (Counter-example). Consider

$$h(x) = -\sqrt{x} \quad \text{when } x \geq 0$$

$$\text{and } g(x) = x^2.$$

Then, we have

$$f(x) = h(g(x)) = -\sqrt{|x|^2} = -|x|,$$

which is nonconvex.

- (v) (**Restriction on Lines**): Given a function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ that is not identically $+\infty$, a point $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and a direction $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define the function $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{h}} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ by

$$\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{h}} = f(\mathbf{x}_0 + t\mathbf{h}).$$

Then, the function f is convex if and only if the function $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{h}}$ is convex for all $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 8. *The convexity of a high-dimensional function can always be verified by examining a collection of one-dimensional functions.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{h} be arbitrary. Then, for any $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}_{\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{h}}(\alpha t_1 + (1 - \alpha)t_2) &= f(\mathbf{x}_0 + (\alpha t_1 + (1 - \alpha)t_2)\mathbf{h}) \\ &\leq \alpha f(\mathbf{x}_0 + t_1\mathbf{h}) + (1 - \alpha)f(\mathbf{x}_0 + t_2\mathbf{h}) \\ &= \alpha \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{h}}(t_1) + (1 - \alpha)\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{h}}(t_2),\end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from the convexity of f .

Conversely, let $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Upon setting $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}_1$ and $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}f((1 - \alpha)\mathbf{x}_1 + \alpha\mathbf{x}_2) &= \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{h}}(\alpha) \\ &= \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{h}}(\alpha \cdot 1 + (1 - \alpha) \cdot 0) \\ &\leq \alpha \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{h}}(1) + (1 - \alpha)\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{h}}(0) \\ &= \alpha f(\mathbf{x}_2) + (1 - \alpha)f(\mathbf{x}_1),\end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from the convexity of $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{h}}$. ■

3 Differentiable Convex Functions

When a given function is differentiable, it's possible to characterize its convexity via their derivatives.

Theorem 9. *Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function on the open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex set. Then, f is convex on \mathcal{S} if and only if*

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \geq f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) + \nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}})^T(\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})$$

for all $\mathbf{x}, \bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathcal{S}$.

When f is twice-differentiable, then we have

Theorem 10. *Let $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a twice differentiable function on the **open convex set** $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Then f is convex if and only if $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) \succeq 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}$.*

Example 11 (Counter-example). *Consider a function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x, y) = x^2 - y^2$. This function is convex on the set $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$. However, its Hessian is not positive semi-definite for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e.,*

$$\nabla^2 f(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

4 Establishing Convexity of Functions

(i) Consider $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{S}_{++}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Y}^{-1} \mathbf{x}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2, we check the convexity of its epigraph, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{epi}(f) &= \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Y}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{S}_{++}^d \times \mathbb{R} : \mathbf{Y} \succ 0, \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Y}^{-1} \mathbf{x} \leq t\}, \\ &= \left\{ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Y}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{S}_{++}^d \times \mathbb{R} : \mathbf{Y} \succ 0, \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x}^T & t \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the Schur complement. ■

(ii) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $f(\mathbf{X}) = \|\mathbf{X}\|_2$, where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the spectral norm.

It is well known that (see, e.g., [1])

$$\|\mathbf{X}\|_2 = \sup_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2=1, \|\mathbf{u}\|_2=1} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}.$$

By Theorem 6 (ii), we finished the proof.

(iii) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(\mathbf{x}) = \log\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \exp(x_i)\right)$.

Proof. By Theorem 10, we compute the Hessian of f :

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \begin{cases} \frac{\exp(x_i)}{\sum_{k=1}^d \exp(x_k)} - \frac{\exp(x_i) \exp(x_j)}{(\sum_{k=1}^d \exp(x_k))^2} & \text{if } i = j, \\ -\frac{\exp(x_i) \exp(x_j)}{(\sum_{k=1}^d \exp(x_k))^2} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

This gives the compact form as

$$\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{z})^2} (\text{diag}(\mathbf{z}) - \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z}^T),$$

where $\mathbf{z} = (\exp(z_1), \exp(z_2), \dots, \exp(z_d))$. Next, we are trying to check the Hessian $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})$ is positive semi-definite for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. That is, for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}^T \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{v} &= \frac{1}{(\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{z})^2} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i v_i^2 \right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i v_i \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{z})^2} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{z_i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (\sqrt{z_i} v_i)^2 \right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{z_i} \sqrt{z_i} v_i \right)^2 \right] \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. ■

(iv) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be given by $f(\mathbf{x}, t) = t \log\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \exp\left(\frac{x_i}{t}\right)\right)$.

Sketch of Proof By Proposition 2, we check the convexity of its epigraph, i.e.,

$$\text{epi}(f) = \left\{ (\mathbf{x}, t, r) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R} : \log\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \exp\left(\frac{x_i}{t}\right)\right) \leq \frac{r}{t} \right\}.$$

By the properties of the perspective function, we only have to check the set

$$\left\{ (\mathbf{x}, r) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : \log\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \exp(x_i)\right) \leq r \right\}.$$

■

(v) Let $f : \mathcal{S}_{++}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(\mathbf{X}) = -\ln \det \mathbf{X}$. For those readers who are well versed in matrix calculus (see, e.g., [3] for a comprehensive treatment), the following formulas should be familiar:

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}^{-1}, \quad \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{X}^{-1}.$$

Here, \otimes denotes the Kronecker product. Since $\mathbf{X}^{-1} \succ 0$, it can be shown that $\mathbf{X}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{X}^{-1} \succ 0$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{X}_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{++}^d$ and $\mathbf{H} \in \mathcal{S}^d$. Define the set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbf{X}_0 + t\mathbf{H} \succ 0\} = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : \lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{X}_0 + t\mathbf{H}) > 0\}.$$

Since $\lambda_{\min}(\cdot)$ is continuous (see, e.g., [2, Chapter IV, Theorem 4.11]), we see that \mathcal{D} is open and convex. Now, we consider $f_{\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{H}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\hat{f}_{\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{H}}(t) = f(\mathbf{X}_0 + t\mathbf{H}).$$

For any $t \in \mathcal{D}$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{H}}(t) &= -\ln \det(\mathbf{X}_0 + t\mathbf{H}) \\ &= -\ln \det \left(\mathbf{X}_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbf{I} + t\mathbf{X}_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{X}_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \mathbf{X}_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &= - \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \ln(1 + t\lambda_i) + \ln \det \mathbf{X}_0 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ are the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{X}_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{X}_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Then, by Theorem 6 (v), we only have to prove the convexity of $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{H}}(\cdot)$. Since the domain \mathcal{D} is open and convex, we can apply Theorem 10 and check its second derivative:

$$\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{H}}''(t) = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + t\lambda_i)^2} \geq 0.$$

■

References

- [1] Roger A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge university press, 2012. 4
- [2] Ren-Cang Li. *Matrix Perturbation Theory*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2006. 5
- [3] Jan R. Magnus and Heinz Neudecker. *Matrix Differential Calculus with Applications in Statistics and Econometrics*. John Wiley & Sons, 2019. 5

This lecture draws extensively from the material available at [ENGG 5501 Handout 2](#) taught by Prof. Anthony Man-Cho So.